1 2 3	Innocence Legal Team 1600 S. Main Street, Suite 195 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: 925 948-9000 Attorney for Defendant			
5	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF			
6 7	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) Case No. CALIFORNIA,) ADMIT EVALUATION OF FAMILY			
8 9	Plaintiff,) COURT EVALUATOR AND) HIS/HER RECORDS vs.			
10) Date:			
12	FACTS			
14 15 16 17	(Set forth the particular facts of your case, i.e., that the victim/victims is/are or were the subject of a custody dispute, that a family court evaluation was done at a particular time and			
19 20 21	criminal proceeding, specifying what that information is.)			
22	(Name of Evaluator) IS NOT A MEDIATOR AND AS SUCH			
23	THERE IS NO EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY			
24 25	(Name of evaluator) was not a mediator, he/she was			

custody arrangement for the alleged victim(s). The evaluation
is not a mediation and it is believed that no confidentiality
agreements exist.

BECAUSE THIS IS A CRIMINAL ACTION, STATUTORY PROTECTIONS FOR NON-DISCLOSURE DO NOT APPLY.

Evidence Code § 703.5 states in pertinent part, "no arbitrator or mediator shall be compelled to testify in a subsequent <u>civil</u> proceeding..." Evidence Code § 1119 provides for confidentiality for and non-disclosure of statements or admissions during or writings generated during mediation "in any <u>civil</u> action, or other non-criminal proceeding, in which, pursuant to law, testimony can be compelled to be given." The instant case is a criminal action, therefore these two evidence code sections do not apply.

CONCLUSION

It is therefore requested that the family court evaluator's testimony and records be admitted in this case.

Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

Attornev	for	Defendan	+
ALLUITIEV	TOT	Derellali	. د

б